

The Evolution of Health Care Quality and Patient Safety

Steven Kaplan, MD,
VP and Chief Medical Officer, Medical and Professional Affairs
NewYork-Presbyterian Hospital

March 14, 2024



GREATER NEW YORK HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION & UNITED HOSPITAL FUND

CLINICAL QUALITY FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

Disclosure Slide



Dr. Steven Kaplan has no conflicts to report.

Presentation Key Focus



Setting the Framework:

- How has quality improvement evolved in health care?

Institute of Medicine* To Err Is Human



- Released November 1999
- 44,000 – 98,000 people die in hospitals each year due to preventable medical errors
- Cost of Errors = \$17 Billion - \$29 Billion per year
- Types of Error
 - Diagnostic
 - Treatment
 - Preventive
 - Other



*Now called the National Academy of Medicine
Part of the National Academies of Science, Engineering and Medicine

Institute of Medicine* To Err Is Human



- Faulty System Processes
 - Not individual recklessness or group actions


- Strategy for Improvement
 - Knowledge of safety: leadership, research, tools, and protocols
 - Mandatory public and voluntary local reporting systems
 - External organizations and group purchasers of health care awareness/expectations about safety
 - Safety systems implementation/ensure safe practices at the delivery level

*Now called the National Academy of Medicine
Part of the National Academies of Science, Engineering and Medicine

Institute of Medicine* Crossing the Quality Chasm



- Report Released March 2001
- Delivery of health care is often:
 - Poorly Organized
 - Overly Complex
 - Fragmented and Uncoordinated
- Six Aims for Patient Care:
 - SAFE
 - EFFECTIVE
 - PATIENT-CENTERED
 - TIMELY
 - EFFICIENT
 - EQUITABLE




*Now called the National Academy of Medicine
Part of the National Academies of Science, Engineering and Medicine

Institute for Healthcare Improvement Triple Aim



- Improve the Health of the Population
- Enhance the Patient Experience of Care
 - Quality, Access, Reliability and Satisfaction
- Reduce, or at Least Control, the Per Capita Cost of Care



IHI Triple Aim

Institute for Healthcare Improvement Quadruple or Quintuple Aim?




- Improve the Health of the Population
- Enhance the Patient Experience of Care
 - Quality, Access, Reliability and Satisfaction
- Reduce, or At Least Control, the Per Capita Cost of Care
- **4th Aim:**
 - **Provider and care team well-being**
 - **Joy in Work**
 - **Equity**
 - **Organization Readiness**

Institute for Healthcare Improvement Quadruple Aim?



The Quadruple Aim



How Did We Get Here?



- Thomas Percival: 1740-1804
- Florence Nightingale: 1820-1910
- Ernest Armory Codman: 1869-1940
- Walter Shewhart: 1891-1967
- W Edwards Deming: 1900-1993
- Joseph Juran: 1904-2008
- Kaoru Ishikawa: 1915-1989
- Avedis Donabedian: 1919-2000

Key founders of
Quality
Improvement

“IN 1900 I BECAME INTERESTED IN WHAT I CALLED THE ‘END RESULT’ IDEA....EVERY HOSPITAL SHOULD FOLLOW EVERY PATIENT IT TREATS LONG ENOUGH TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE TREATMENT HAS BEEN SUCCESSFUL, AND THEN SHOULD INQUIRE, ‘IF NOT, WHY NOT?’ WITH A VIEW TO PREVENTING SIMILAR FAILURE IN THE FUTURE.”


Ernest Armory Codman, 1910

W. Edwards Deming: 1900-1993



- Electrical Engineer
- Inspired by Walter Shewhart
- Known for his work in Japan – a world leader in manufacturing excellence
- Focused on Quality Control
- Popularized the Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) Cycles


“Quality is Everyone’s Responsibility.”





Kaoru Ishikawa: 1915-1989

- Japanese professor/Engineer
- Japanese professor/Engineer
- Founder of the Cause-and-Effect
- Diagram (Fishbone Diagram)
- Used to determine root causes



Avedis Donabedian: 1919-2000


- Physician trained in public health
- Quality = Structure, Process, Outcome
- Impact of clinical decisions on quality
- Relationship between quality and cost
- Focused on Patient Satisfaction

Donabedian Model



U.S. Health Care Quality and Safety


A Brief History



*Partial timeline of quality activities over time.

U.S. Health Care Quality and Safety

A Brief History*



*Partial timeline of quality activities over time.

U.S. Health Care Quality and Safety

An Events Based Timeline



- Quality Measure Development
- Pay for Reporting
- Pay for Performance/Provider Accountability
- Patient Centered Medical Homes
- Meaningful Use
- Value Based Purchasing
- 30-Day Readmissions
- State Innovation Models
- DSRIP
- ACOs, Bundled Payments, Shared Risk
- 21st Century Cures Act

You will be learning more about these and other topics throughout the year.

U.S. Health Care Quality and Safety



Quality Measure Development

1991 – **Goal:** To develop a strategy to evaluate the quality of care provided by special needs plans

HEDIS (Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set) is a set of standardized performance measures developed by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) to objectively measure, report, and compare quality across health plans.

>200 Million people are enrolled in plans that report HEDIS measures

U.S. Health Care Quality and Safety

Sample Quality Measure Set



New York State Primary Care Core Measure Set for 2020

DOMAIN	MEASURE	POPULATIONS	DATA SOURCE
Prevention	Cervical Cancer Screening (#32/HEDIS)	Adults: 21–64 years	Claims-only possible
	Breast Cancer Screening (#2372/HEDIS)	Adults: 50–74 years	Claims-only possible
	Colorectal Cancer Screening (#34/HEDIS)	Adults: 50–75 years	Claims/EHR
	Chlamydia Screening (#33/HEDIS)	Adolescents/Adults: 16–24 years	Claims-only possible
	Influenza Immunization - all ages (#41/AMA)	All: 6 months+	Claims/EHR/Survey
	Well-Child Visits in the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life (NQF #1516)	Children: 3–6 years	Claims/EHR
	Immunizations for Adolescents (NQF #1407)	Adolescents: 13 years	Claims/EHR
	Childhood Immunization Status (#38/HEDIS)	Children: 2 years old	Claims-only possible
	Tobacco Use Screening and Intervention (#28/AMA)	Adults: 18 years+	Claims/EHR
	Controlling High Blood Pressure (#18/HEDIS)	Adults: 18–85 years	Claims/EHR
Chronic Disease	Diabetes: A1C Poor Control (#59/HEDIS)	Adults: 18–75 years	Claims/EHR
	Diabetes: Eye Exam (#55/HEDIS)	Adults: 18–75 years	Claims
	Diabetes: Medical Attention for Nephropathy (#62/HEDIS)	Adults: 18–75 years	Claims
	Persistent Beta Blocker Treatment after Heart Attack (#71/HEDIS)	Adults: 18 years+	Claims/EHR
	Medication Management for People with Asthma (#1799/HEDIS)	All: 5–65 years	Claims-only possible
	Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children and Adolescents (#24/HEDIS)	Child/Adolescents: 3 - 17 years	Claims/EHR
	BMI Screening and Follow-Up (#421/CMS)	Adults: 18 years+	Claims/EHR
	Screening for Clinical Depression and Follow-up Plan (#418/CMS)	Adolescents/Adults: 12 years+	Claims/EHR
	Initiation and Engagement of Alcohol and Other Drug Dependence Treatment (#4/HEDIS)	Adolescents/Adults: 13 years+	Claims/EHR
	Antidepressant Medication Management (#105/HEDIS)	Adults: 18 years+	Claims
Patient-Reported	Advance Care Plan (#326/HEDIS)	Adults: 65 years+	Claims-only possible
	CAHPS Access to Care, Getting Care Quickly (#5/AHRQ)	All	Claims/EHR
Appropriate Use	Use of Imaging Studies for Low Back Pain (#52/HEDIS)	Adults: 18–50 years	Survey
	Avoidance of Antibiotic Treatment in Adults with Acute Bronchitis (#58/HEDIS)	Adults: 18–64 years	Claims
	Inpatient Hospital Utilization (HEDIS)	All	Claims
	Plan All-Cause Readmissions (#1768/HEDIS)	Adults: 18 years+	Claims
Cost	Emergency Department Utilization (HEDIS)	All	Claims
	(Pending measure review)		

Populations: Children, ages 0–9; Adolescents, ages 10–17; Adults, ages 18+. The WHO defines adolescence as the age range 10–19 years. The AAP/Bright Futures defines it as the age range 11–21 years.

Data Sources: Claims-only possible refers to the fact that the measure requires use of both claims and other sources (EHR, survey) but using only claims is a feasible alternative.

U.S. Health Care Quality and Safety



Pay For Performance

Goal: P4P is to be part of the overall national strategy to transition healthcare to value-based medicine.

2003 - CMS established P4P initiatives to strengthen quality measures, improve patient outcomes, and maintain physician accountability. Such P4P programs offer incentives to hospitals, provider groups, and physicians based on adherence to specific composite metrics.

P4P comprises payment models that attach financial **incentives/disincentives** to provider performance.

U.S. Health Care Quality and Safety



Value Based Purchasing

Goal: The Hospital Value-Based Purchasing (VBP) Program is to be part of ongoing work to structure Medicare's payment system to **reward providers for the quality of care they provide.**

Established in 2010 as part of the Affordable Care Act (ACA)

Implemented at U.S. hospitals starting in the 2013 fiscal year.

Four Domains:

- Safety
- Clinical Care
- Person and Community Engagement
- Efficiency and Cost Reduction

U.S. Health Care Quality and Safety



State Innovation Models

Goal: To achieve better quality of care, lower costs and improved health for the population of the participating state.

2015 - Delivery System Reform Incentive Payment Program (DSRIP)

- The culmination of the NY State's initial Medicaid Redesign Team efforts.
- Primary Goal - Reduce avoidable hospital use by 25% over 5 years.
- \$8 Billion investment by the Federal Government
- Allows for waivers to make experimental or pilot demonstration changes to its Medicaid program.
- Provides incentive payments for hospitals and other providers to undertake delivery system transformation efforts via provider partnerships.
- Greater focus on high quality ambulatory care and a de-emphasis on hospital inpatient and ED care

U.S. Health Care Quality and Safety



21st Century Cures Act

Goal: To advance interoperability; support the access, exchange, and use of electronic health information (EHI); and address occurrences of information blocking. Signed into Law - 2016

The Cures Act has resulted in two rulings:

- The ONC Cures Act Final Rule
- The CMS Interoperability and Patient Access Final Rule
- Both of these rulings aim to **provide patients with greater access to care and implement new standards to enable greater access and coordination in patient care.**

U.S. Health Care Quality and Safety



The **21st Century Cures Act** was designed to revolutionize healthcare by taking full advantage of today's technological capabilities. The key components are:

Improve Interoperability

- Implementation of HL7 FHIR unites healthcare apps by creating a common set of APIs to enable these platforms to share data and communicate with ease.
- Universal API standards simplify the implementation of HIT apps.
- Streamlining secure data flow prevents information blocking, breaks down data silos, and enables a health app economy.

Accelerate Advancement

- \$5 billion allocated to NIH will advance precision treatment.
- A \$1 billion allocation will help fight the opioid epidemic crisis.
- A modified FDA drug approval process and facilitated approval of specific drugs will streamline drug & device development.
- Ease the regulatory burdens of EHR systems and HIT.

Empower Patients

- Patients will experience greater ease when accessing their PHI.
- Patients will enjoy smoother experiences using HIT apps.
- Mental health services will be improved with: behavioral and mental health insurance coverage, deescalation training for law enforcement professionals, grants for mental health resources, and intervention programs.



**Because
We've Always
Done It That
Way**

15+ years after *To Err is Human*...



The positives:

- TJC focus on hospitals' journey toward high reliability
- ACGME's development of Clinical Learning Environment Review (CLER) to engage teaching programs in quality and safety
- Clinicians in patient safety now draw on experiences from human factors and systems engineering, sociology, informatics, and behavioral informatics
- Maturation of technical components of patient safety (e.g., measurement/analytics)
- More attention to system issues
- Tremendous improvements in various clinical areas (e.g., CLABSI, CAUTI, etc.)

Pronovost, P.J. and Bienvenu, O.J. (2015). From shame to guilt to love. *JAMA*, 314(23):2507-8.

Kuehn, B.M. (2014). Patient safety still lagging: advocates call for national patient safety monitoring board. *JAMA*, 312(9):879-80.

15+ years after *To Err is Human*...



The negatives:

- Still lack of physician engagement
 - Residents describe “being shamed” by senior MDs for voicing patient safety concerns during clinical cases; they decide to stay quiet even when there are perceived risks
- Sometimes risky and inefficient HIT
- Emotional components are still not regarded significantly
 - Mistakes are still rarely discussed
 - Shame vs. Guilt vs. Love
 - Culture still discourages nurses from speaking up
- More recent research suggests U.S. deaths resulting in medical error are closer to 400,000/year

Pronovost, P.J. and Biennu, O.J. (2015). From shame to guilt to love. *JAMA*, 314(23):2507-8.

Kuehn, B.M. (2014). Patient safety still lagging: advocates call for national patient safety monitoring board. *JAMA*, 312(9):879-80.

A Look Ahead



Some things to consider . . .


- Just Culture

- Artificial Intelligence

- YOU

Just Culture

Safety Event Review Tool



Artificial Intelligence



Will Machines Replace Humans?

□ Radiology

□ Colonoscopy

□ ChatGPT and similar

*“QUALITY IS EVERYONE’S
RESPONSIBILITY.”*

W. Edwards Deming

THANK YOU



Steven Kaplan, MD
VP and Chief Medical Officer,
Medical and Professional Affairs
NewYork-Presbyterian Hospital

stk2002@nyp.org